

Scaled Enflo type is equivalent to Rademacher type

Manor Mendel
California Institute of Technology

Assaf Naor
Microsoft Research

Abstract

We introduce the notion of *scaled Enflo type* of a metric space, and show that for Banach spaces, scaled Enflo type p is equivalent to Rademacher type p .

1 Introduction

Recall that a Banach space X is said to have Rademacher type $p > 0$ (see [7]) if there exists a constant $T < \infty$ such that for every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|_X^p \leq T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_X^p, \quad (1)$$

where here, and in what follows, $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon$ denotes the expectation with respect to uniformly chosen $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. The infimum over all constants T for which (1) holds is denoted $T_p(X)$.

Motivated by the search for concrete versions of Ribe's theorem [12] for various fundamental local properties of Banach spaces (see the discussion in [2, 9, 8]), several researchers proposed non-linear notions of type, which make sense in the setting arbitrary metric spaces (see [5, 3, 1]). In particular, following Enflo [5] we say that a metric space (M, d_M) has *Enflo type* p if there exists a constant K such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow M$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon d_M(f(\varepsilon), f(-\varepsilon))^p \leq T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon d_M(f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}, \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n), f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}, -\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_n))^p. \quad (2)$$

For Banach spaces (1) follows from (2) by considering the function $\varepsilon \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j$. The question whether in the category of Banach spaces Rademacher type p implies Enflo type p was posed by Enflo in [5], and in full generality remains open. In [11] Pisier showed that if a Banach space has Rademacher p then it has Enflo type p' for every $p' < p$ (see also the work of Bourgain, Milman and Wolfson [3] for a similar result which holds for another notion of non-linear type). In [10] it was shown that for UMD Banach spaces (see [4]) Rademacher type p is equivalent to Enflo type p .

Motivated by our recent work on metric cotype [8], we introduce below the notion of *scaled Enflo type* of a metric space (which is, in a sense, “opposite” to the notion of metric cotype defined in [8]), and show that for Banach spaces, scaled Enflo type p is equivalent to Rademacher type p . This settles the long standing problem of finding a purely metric formulation of the notion of type (though Enflo's problem described above remains open). Modulo some of the results of [8], the proof of our main theorem is very simple.

Definition 1.1 (Scaled Enflo type). Let (M, d_M) be a metric space and $p > 0$. We say that M has *scaled Enflo type* p with constant τ if for every integer n there exists an even integer m such that for every $f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow M$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} d_M\left(f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right), f(x)\right)^p d\mu(x) \leq \tau^p m^p \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} d_M\left(f(x + e_j), f(x)\right)^p d\mu(x), \quad (3)$$

where μ is the uniform probability measure on $\mathbb{Z}_m^n$, and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The infimum over all constants τ for which (3) holds is denoted $\tau_p(M)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Banach space and $p \in [1, 2]$. Then X has Rademacher type p if and only if X has scaled Enflo type p . More precisely,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} T_p(X) \leq \tau_p(X) \leq 5T_p(X).$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start by showing that scaled Enflo type p implies Rademacher type p .

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space and $p \in [1, 2]$. Then $T_p(X) \leq 2\pi\tau_p(X)$.*

Proof. Let X be a Banach space and assume that $\tau_p(X) < \infty$ for some $p \in [1, 2]$. Fix $\tau > \tau_p(X)$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in X$, and let m be an even integer. Define $f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow X$ by $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i x_j}{m}} v_j$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) = \left| e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} - 1 \right|^p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \|v_j\|_X^p \leq \left(\frac{2\pi}{m} \right)^p \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \|v_j\|_X^p, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right) - f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) = 2^p \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i x_j}{m}} v_j \right\|_X^p d\mu(x). \quad (5)$$

We recall the *contraction principle* (see [6]), which states that for every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j a_j v_j \right\|_X^p \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_j| \right)^p \cdot \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j v_j \right\|_X^p.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i x_j}{m}} v_j \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m} \left(x_j + \frac{m(1-\varepsilon_j)}{4} \right)} v_j \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j e^{\frac{2\pi i x_j}{m}} v_j \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^p} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j v_j \right\|_X^p. \quad (6)$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6) yields the required result. $\square$

Let X be a Banach space with type p , m an integer divisible by 4, and k an odd integer. Fix $f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow X$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. Define $\mathcal{A}^{(k)} f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow X$ by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) = \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{z \in (-k, k)^n \cap (2\mathbb{Z})^n} f(x + z).$$

Lemma 2.2. *For $p \geq 1$ and every $f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow X$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) - f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \leq (k-1)^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x).$$

Proof. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $s(t)$ be the sign of t (with convention that $s(0) = 0$). For every $z \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$,

$$\|f(x + z) - f(x)\|_X^p \leq \|z\|_1^{p-1} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{|z_j|} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} z_t e_t + \ell \cdot s(z_j) \cdot e_j\right) - f\left(x + \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} z_t e_t + (\ell-1) \cdot s(z_j) \cdot e_j\right) \right\|_X^p.$$

Observe that since k is odd, $|(-k, k)^n \cap (2\mathbb{Z})^n| = k^n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|\mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) &\leq \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{z \in (-k, k)^n \cap (2\mathbb{Z})^n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x+z) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{z \in (-k, k)^n \cap (2\mathbb{Z})^n} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|z\|_1^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^{|z_j|} \left\| f\left(x + \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} z_t e_t + \ell s(z_j) e_j\right) - f\left(x + \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} z_t e_t + (\ell-1) s(z_j) e_j\right) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{z \in (-k, k)^n \cap (2\mathbb{Z})^n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|z\|_1^{p-1} |z_j| \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(y + s(z_j) e_j) - f(y)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq (k-1)^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of theorem 1.2. Fix an odd integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $k < \frac{m}{2}$. As in [8], given $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define $S(j, k) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ by

$$S(j, k) := \{x \in [-k, k]^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n : y_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \forall \ell \neq j, y_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}.$$

For $f : \mathbb{Z}_m^n \rightarrow X$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x) = \left(f * \frac{\mathbf{1}_{S(j, k)}}{\mu(S(j, k))} \right)(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(S(j, k))} \int_{S(j, k)} f(x+y) d\mu(y). \quad (7)$$

In [8] (see equation (39) there) it is shown that for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$,

$$\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^{n-1} (\mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x - \varepsilon)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j [\mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x + e_j) - \mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x - e_j)] + U(x, \varepsilon) + V(x, \varepsilon),$$

where, by inequalities (41) and (42) in [8], for every $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$,

$$\max \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|U(x, \varepsilon)\|_X^p d\mu(x), \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|V(x, \varepsilon)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \right\} \leq \frac{8^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x).$$

Thus, for every $T > T_p(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \right)^{p(n-1)} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|\mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x - \varepsilon)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j [\mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x + e_j) - \mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x - e_j)] \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) + \frac{24^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq 3^{p-1} T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|\mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x + e_j) - \mathcal{E}_j^{(k)} f(x - e_j)\|_X^p d\mu(x) + \frac{24^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
&\leq 3^{p-1} T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x - e_j)\|_X^p d\mu(x) + \frac{24^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{6^p}{3} T^p + \frac{24^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x), \quad (9)$$

where in (8) we used the fact that $\mathcal{E}_j^{(k)}$ is an averaging operator, and hence has norm 1.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right) - f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \leq 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) + \\
& \quad 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f\left(x + \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon\right) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) + 3^{p-1} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) - f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \\
& \leq 3^{p-1} \left[\left(\frac{m}{4}\right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \sum_{t=1}^{m/4} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x + 2t\varepsilon) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x + (2t-2)\varepsilon) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) + 2 \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x) - f(x) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) \right] \\
& \leq 3^{p-1} \left[\left(\frac{m}{4}\right)^p \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \sum_{t=1}^{m/4} \left\| \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(y + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{A}^{(k)} f(x - \varepsilon) \right\|_X^p d\mu(x) + 2k^p n^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \right] \quad (10) \\
& \leq \left[3^{p-1} \left(\frac{m}{4}\right)^p \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{p(n-1)} \left(\frac{6^p}{3} T^p + \frac{24^p n^{2p-1}}{k^p}\right) + \frac{2(3kn)^p}{3n} \right] \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x) \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 5^p m^p T^p \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_m^n} \|f(x + e_j) - f(x)\|_X^p d\mu(x), \quad (12)$$

where in (10) we used Lemma 2.2, in (11) we used (8), and (12) is true if $4n^{2-1/p} \leq k \leq \frac{3m}{2n^{1-1/p}}$, which is a valid choice of k if $m \geq 3n^{3-2/p}$. $\square$

Remark 2.3. If a metric space has Enflo type p then it also has scaled Enflo type p . This follows from a straightforward modification of Lemma 2.4 in [8]. We do not know if scaled Enflo type p implies Enflo type p . In the category of Banach spaces, a positive answer to this question would show that Enflo type p is equivalent to Rademacher type p , resolving positively Enflo's problem [5]. We do know that for Banach spaces, scaled Enflo type p implies Enflo type p' for all $p' < p$, and that scaled Enflo type and Enflo type coincide for UMD Banach spaces.

Remark 2.4. The idea of scaling by $\frac{m}{2}$ in the definition of scaled Enflo type originates from the definition of *metric cotype* introduced in [8], which involves a similar scaling procedure. In the case on non-linear type it is possible that this scaling is not necessary, i.e. that Enflo type is equivalent to Rademacher type. However, as shown in [8], in the context of metric cotype the scaling is *necessary*- we refer to [8] for more details.

References

- [1] K. Ball. Markov chains, Riesz transforms and Lipschitz maps. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2(2):137–172, 1992.
- [2] J. Bourgain. The metrical interpretation of superreflexivity in Banach spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 56(2):222–230, 1986.
- [3] J. Bourgain, V. Milman, and H. Wolfson. On type of metric spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 294(1):295–317, 1986.
- [4] D. L. Burkholder. Martingales and Singular integrals in Banach spaces. In *Johnson, W. B. and Lindenstrauss, J. (ed.), Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. Volume 1. Amsterdam: North-Holland. 233-269. 2001.*
- [5] P. Enflo. On infinite-dimensional topological groups. In *Séminaire sur la Géométrie des Espaces de Banach (1977–1978)*, pages Exp. No. 10–11, 11. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1978.
- [6] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand. *Probability in Banach spaces*, volume 23 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. Isoperimetry and processes.
- [7] B. Maurey. Type, cotype and K -convexity. In *Johnson, W. B. and Lindenstrauss, J. (ed.), Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. Volume 2. Amsterdam: North-Holland. 1299-1332. 2003.*

- [8] M. Mendel and A. Naor. Metric cotype. Preprint, 2005. Available at <http://arxiv.org/math/0506201>.
- [9] A. Naor, Y. Peres, O. Schramm, and S. Sheffield. Markov chains in smooth Banach spaces and Gromov hyperbolic metric spaces. Preprint, 2004.
- [10] A. Naor and G. Schechtman. Remarks on non linear type and Pisier's inequality. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 552:213–236, 2002.
- [11] G. Pisier. Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces. In *Probability and analysis (Varenna, 1985)*, volume 1206 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 167–241. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [12] M. Ribe. On uniformly homeomorphic normed spaces. *Ark. Mat.*, 14:237–244, 1976.